CASE 0:08-cv-01186-JNE-JJK Doc. 1 Filed 04/24/08 Page 1 of 25

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**District of Minnesota** 

	Edw	ard Po:	indexter;	BY MAIL
			Plaintiff,	APR 10 2008 1
				CLERK U.S. DISTRICT CC JRT
		full name o	of ALL plaintiff(s) and prisoner on)	ST. PAUL, MN
vs				Civil No. 10801186 JNE/JSM
	Vic	ctoria	Pohlmann, et al.,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF CHARLES VIDER 42 U.S.C. 1983
	_		Defendants.	- BY MAIL
				APR 24 2008
(En	ter the	full name o	of ALL defendant(s) in this action)	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CCURT ST. PAUL, MN
1.	PRI	EVIOUS L	AWSUITS	, <b>,</b>
	A	Have you otherwise	a begun other lawsuits in state or federal e relating to your imprisonment in the las	court dealing with the same facts involved in this action or st three years?
			Yes X No	
	B.	If your as describe	nswer to A is Yes, describe each lawsuit the additional lawsuits on another piece	in the space below. (If there is more than one lawsuit, of paper, using the same outline.)
		1.	Parties to this previous lawsuit:	
			Plaintiffs	
			Defendants	
		2.	Court (if federal court, name the dist	rict. If state court, also name the county)
		£.	Court (ii rederat court, name the tilst	
		3.	Case Number	
		4.	Name of judge to whom case was ass	signed

**SCANNED** 

APR 2 9 2008 VEM

## CASE 0:08-cv-01186-JNE-JJK Doc. 1 Filed 04/24/08 Page 2 of 25

		5. Cause of Action (Cite statute under which you filed and write a brief statement of the case):						
		6. Disposition (For example: Was the case dismissed? Appealed? Still pending?						
		7. Approximate date of filing of lawsuit						
		8. Approximate date of disposition						
		9. Attach copy of disposition it not from Federal District Court of Minnesota.						
II.	DI /	ACE OF PRESENT CONFINEMENT						
11.		Is there a prisoner grievance procedure in the institution?						
	A.	X Yes No						
	n							
	В.	Did you present the facts relating your complaint in the prisoner grievance procedure?						
	0	Yes X No						
	C. If your answer is Yes:  1. What steps did you take? None. This complaint concerns							
		violations of my rights at the Minnesota Correctional Facility  What was the result? I was expelled from the state before						
		having a chance to address grievances in court.						
	_	3. Attach a copy of disposition						
	D.	If your answer is No, explain why not:  I was on an Interstate Compact transfer and filed a habeas corpus, but was						
		kicked out of the state for no reason before I had my habeas hearing.						
Ш	PAl	RTIES						
		(In Item A below, place your name in the first blank and place your present address in the second blank. Do the same for additional plaintiffs)						
	A	nd and natural						
		Address: NSP, Box 2500, Lincoln, NE 68542-2500						
		Additional Plaintiffs:						

## III. PARTIES

Plaintiff:

Edward Poindexter #27767

NSP, Box 2500

Lincoln, NE 68542-2500

Defendants:

1. Officer Victoria Pohlmann

MCF-FRB

1101 Linden Lane

Faribault, MN 55021-6400

2. C. Davis, Mail Room

MCF-FRB

1101 Linden Lane

Faribault, MN 55021-6400

3. Lou Stander, Associate Warden of

Operations

MCF-FRB

1101 Linden Lane

Faribault, MN 55021-6400

4. Sgt. Krentz

MCF-FRB

1101 Linden Lane

Faribault, MN 55021-6400

5. Officer C. Peine

MCF-FRB

1101 Linden Lane

Faribault, MN 55021-6400

## III. PARTIES CONTD.

- 6. Penelope "Penny" Malecha, Career Case Mgr.
  MCF-FRB
  ll0l Linden Lane
  Faribault, MN 55021-6400
- 7. James "Jim" Lyons, Assistant Warden/Operations
  MCF-FRB
  ll01 Linden Lane
  Faribault, MN 55021-6400
- 8. Janice "Jan" Hanlon, "RN"

  MCF-FRB

  ll01 Linden Lane

  Faribault, MN 55021-6400
- 9. Jaclyn "Jackie" Carter, "CPD"

  MCF-FRB

  1101 Linden Lane

  Faribault, MN 55021-6400

The above-listed ten (10) defendants are sued in their "Individual and Official Capacities."

#### IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

## Preliminary Statement

Ten (10) defendants conspired to retaliate against plaintiff Edward Poindexter for exercizing his United States Constitutional rights under the 1st Amendment that also violated his 6th, 8th and 14th Amendment rights.

Plaintiff is a sixty-three (63) year old disabled Vietnam veteran who had been on an Interstate Compact Transfer program from the Nebraska State Prison (NSP) to the Minnesota Department of Corrections (MNDOC) since July 18, 1979, and has always had an excellent behavior and personal achievement record.

While housed at the Minnesota Correctional Facilty at Faribault's (MCF-FRB) "Assisted Living unit," plaintiff had a reputation for being an outspoken critic of the prison administration's mistreatment of him since being transferred there on August 10, 2004. He also encouraged other abused disabled inmates in his living unit to file grievances with the courts.

Plaintiff also filed a civil rights complaint against several MNDOC physicians, as well as a habeas corpus petition in which he named several corrections officials as defendants. Consequently, driven by a class-based animus, defendants conspired and comitted fraudulent representation and fraudulent concealment. and retaliated against plaintiff by locking him up in Segregation for seventeen (17) days for no penological reasons, then kicked him out of the state and returned him to the NSP where he remains today, missing his scheduled habeas corpus hearing in Minnesota.

Defendants also opened and read plaintiff's legal mail out of his presence on several occasions in an effort to learn the names of defendants in an upcoming lawsuit against MNDOC employees.

The four (4) claims in this civil complaint all stem from the same set of circumstances and facts of continuing wrongs that all satisfy the necessary elements of a conspiracy to commit fraudulent representation and fraudulent concealment, then retaliation against plaintiff in a reckless, callous, intentional and deliberate indifferent manner for exercizing his united States Constitutional rights of free speech and a meaningful and unfettered access to the courts that was all motivated by evil intent and a class-based animus that caused him irreparable harm and emotional duress and torment.

## JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims of violations of his federal rights under 42 U.S.C.A., \$\$\$\$\$\$ 1983, 1985, 1986, 1331(A), 1343, 1223, 3602, 3631, Supplemental Jurisdiction over plaintiff's State Tort claims under 28 U.S.C.A., \$ 1997, and the 1st, 6th, 8th and 14th Amendments of the United States Constitution.

Defendants are employees of the MNDOC, and all are "State Actors" who acted under "color of state law," and are all also sued in their "individual" and "official" capacities.

Plaintiff also cites <u>Haines v. Kerner</u>, 404 U.S. 519,

<u>Jones v. U.S.</u>, 2007 WL 442242 (W.D.Pa.), and in pari materia,
all applicable Minnesota laws and statutes, including conspiracy,
fraudulent representation and fraudulent concealment, and the
Minnesota Constitution.

## CLAIM I

DEFENDANTS OPENED AND READ PLAINTIFF'S LEGAL MAIL OUTSIDE OF
HIS PRESENCE, VIOLATING HIS UNTED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
UNDER THE 1ST AND 14TH AMENDMENTS

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. At 5:15 pm on August 3, 2005, plaintiff picked up his legal mail at the Sergeant's Desk from Officer V. Pohlmann, who "opened" the piece of mail in front of him.
- 2. Plaintiff noticed later in his room that said piece of mail had already been opened, then re-sealed by two staples. See Exhibits #1 and #2.
- 3. A similar scenario also occured with the same defendant on January 5, 2006. Exhibits #3, #4 and #5.
- 4. Plaintiff recalled when Pohlmann re-opened his legal mail, she did so by slipping two fingers through the envelope, ripping through the two staples. But the original opening had been made by a pair of scissors that left jagged lines across the opening. Exhibit #l again.
- 5. When the Mail Room opens inmate mail, the usual procedure is to use an electric letter opener that leaves a clean, straight line across the edge of the envelope. And when legal mail is "mistakeningly" opened, an apologetic note is placed in the envelope acknowledging that it was an "accident." But such was not the case in the above-mentioned incident.
- 6. C. Davis and one unidentified Mail room employee opened plaintiff's legal mail on three (3) occasions. Once can be said to be an accident, but three times is suspicious. Exhibits #6, #7, #8 and #9.

#### CLAIM II

DEFENDANT CONFISCATED PLAINTIFF'S LEGAL DOCUMENTS AND REFUSED

TO RETURN THEM, IN VIOLATION OF HIS UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL

RIGHTS UNDER THE 1ST, 8TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 7. On or about February 14, 2005, plaintiff received two police reports via mail that he needed in preparation for an upcoming post conviction appeal in the Douglas County Court in Omaha, Nebraska.
- 8. Plaintiff received a notice from the Mail Room stating that said police reports were unallowable items because plaintiff's name was not mentioned in them, which was the entire point of his claim and need to include said reports in his upcoming legal action. Exhibit #10.
- 9. Plaintiff appealed to the Mail Room, and forwarded a copy of the Kite to the department supervisor <a href="Lou Stender">Lou Stender</a>, Associate <a href="Warden of Operations">Warden of Operations</a>, whose negative response was a terse <a href=""">"Received and noted."</a> Exhibit #11.
- 10. Plaintiff also received a note from another Mail Room employee informing him that the decision to confiscate and hold his legal documents came from a "supervisory level," meaning Lou Stender. Exhibit #12.
- 11. Plaintiff then sent a stamped, addressed envelope to the Mail Room to have his two police reports sent back out, but he never received confirmation from the Mail Room verifying that his documents had, in fact, been sent out.

## CLAIM III

DEFENDANTS PERMITTED TOBACCO SMOKING IN HIS LIVING UNIT, THUS SUBJECTING HIM TO HARMFUL LEVELS OF SECONDHAND ETS, VIOLATING HIS UNITED STATES CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE 8TH AND 14TH-

#### **AMENDMENTS**

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 12. Tobacco smoking is banned in all state-owned and run institutions in the state of Minnesota; said law applies especially to state correctional facilities. Said law is applied very rigidly to prison inmates, and inmate smoking was a very rare occurance during plaintiff's stay at MCF-FRB.
- 13. On Wednesday, January 25, 2006, plaintiff was attempting to take a nap because he was weak from pneumonia due to a bad reaction to his chemotherapy for Hepatitis C, and his chest was very congested and he had a very bad cough. Exhibits #13, #14.
- 14. Plaintiff approached the Sergeant's Desk to complain to Sgt. Krentz, and it was instantly apparant that the smoking was originating from that area.
- 15. Plaintiff's complaint about the smoking fell on deaf ears, as Sgt. Krentz only gave him a blank stare. He repeated his complaint, but the officer continued only giving him a blank stare.
- 16. Plaintiff returned to his room and placed his blanket over his nose to filter out the tobacco smoke.
- 17. The following evening on January 26, 2006, the problem not only continued, but got worse.
- 18. Said tobacco smoking occured mostly during the 2nd Shift

from 3:30 pm to 11:30 pm when Sgt. Krentz was the officer in charge of the living unit, and Officers V. Pohlmann and C. Peine assisted him as shift officers.

- 19. On Tuesday morning, February 21, 2006, plaintiff went to Sick Call, and while there complained about the smoking problem in his living unit to Nurse Krinkle.
- 20. Plaintiff was hesitant to complain to Health Service personnel because they also smoked in the area. Nevertheless, nurse Krinkle said she'd "look into it."
- 21. The smoking in plaintiff's living unit ceased for a couple of days, then resumed with a vengeance.
- 22. Plaintiff compiled a "Smoking Log" containing numerous affidavits of his, plus one affidavit from a quadrapalegic inmate in his living unit who had the same complaint. Exhibit #15.
- 23. Plaintiff was also promised more affidavits about the smoking problem in his living unit from inmate Nico Redding, but he was kicked out of the state before having an opportunity to collect said affidavits. (See Claim IV)

#### CLAIM IV

DEFENDANTS CONSPIRED TO KICK PLAINTIFF OUT OF THE STATE BEFORE
HIS HABEAS CORPUS HEARING, IN VIOLATION OF HIS UNITED STATES
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS UNDER THE 1ST, 6TH, 8TH AND 14TH

## AMENDMENTS

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

24. On June 5, 2006, plaintiff met with his case manager,

Penny Malecha, who informed him that he was going to be shipped back to his home state for no stated reason.

- 25. Plaintiff explained to Malecha that he had an upcoming habeas corpus hearing scheduled for July 11, 2006 at the Rice County Court. and requested a postponement of his transfer until at least after his hearing. Exhibit #16.
- 26. Malecha acknowledged knowledge of the hearing, stating that she'd also received a copy of the Court's Order, but suggested that plaintiff address his request to <u>James "Jim" Lyons, Assistant Warden of Operations</u>, and head of the PRT (<u>Program Review Team</u>) that made the collective decision to transfer plaintiff.
- 27. Later in the day, plaintiff was placed in hand cuffs by the Security Squad and placed in Segregation for no stated reason.
- 28. Later in the day, plaintiff received official paperwork on his segregation status, and a copy of the PRT Report wherein he learned that the other members of the Team were <a href="Penelope" "Penny" Malecha, Career Case Manager">Penelope "Penny" Malecha, Career Case Manager</a>, <a href="Janice" Jan" Hanlon</a>, "RN," and <a href="Jackie" Carter</a>, <a href="CPD">CPD</a>. Exhibits #17, #18.
- 29. Plaintiff then sent his request Kite to Jim Lyons, but said request was promptly ignored. Exhibit #19.
- 30. Plaintiff spent the next seventeen (17) days in Segregation, and was sent back to the NSP on June 22, 2006, without any type of hearing, no former or even informal charges or even accusations. (See Exhibit #18 again)
- 30b. On June 26, 2006, someone from MCF-FRB called the Rice County Clerk to announced that plaintiff had been transferred. Exhibit #19b.
- 31. Defendants Stender is the direct supervisor of Lyons, and Warden Constance "Connie" Roehrich is Stender's supervisor.

Plaintiff's untimely transfer would not have been possible without the "signing off" by the forementioned two defendants.

- 32. Plaintiff also states for the record that he was known in the living unit to have been active in pro se litigation taken against MNDOC doctors, officials and other employees, as well as his attack on an unconstitutional state procedure of the MNDOC giving him an illegal upward departure of his prison sentence addressed in his habeas corpus petition. Exhibits #20, #21.
- 33. Plaintiff was also an active advocate of other disabled inmates in his living unit addressing their grievances through the proper channels, and advised them in filing their own petitions.
- 34. Plaintiff's untimely transfer also separated him from his own legal advisor in inmate Nico Redding, who, at the time of his transfer, was assisting plaintiff in preparing for his habeas corpus hearing. The two of them were also collaborating on filing another humongous civil complaint against the MNDOC employees and officials. Exhibit #22.
- 35. Plaintiff's untimely and illegal transfer also caused him considerable stress, duress and emotional torment not only that already stated herein, but due to his chronic and debilitating health; he was anxious to win his habeas corpus in Minnesota not just for obvious reasons, but so that he could check into the nearest Veteran's Hospital to receive adequate health care, which was something he did not receive in the Minnesota Correctional system, nor at his present resident at the NSP. Exhibits #23, #24, #25 and #26.

- 36. Plaintiff also states for the record that aside from the two (2) previously mentioned lawsuits filed against MNDOC employees and officials, he also filed several grievances against same concerning the terrible manner in which he had been treated while he was confined to MCF-FRB's Assisted Living Unit from August 10, 2004 to June 20, 2006, for denial of medical care and accommodations. Exhibits #27, #28, #29, #30, #31 and #32.
- 37. During the month of January, 2006, plaintiff met with his Case Manager, Penny Malecha, following a request to leaf through his Base Files in search of some documents he might use in preparation for his habeas corpus petition.
- 38. Among said documents he had copied was the COMS memo from Erik Skon, Assistant Commissioner of Adult Facilities for the MNDOC. Exhibit #33.
- 39. Said COMS memo gave plaintiff an upward departure of his first degree murder life sentence received on April 17, 1971, in the Douglas County Court. in Omaha. Nebraska. Exhibit #34.
- 40. Through said COMS memo, the MNDOC changed plaintiff's sentence that has a defacto mandatory release of no later than on or about April 17, 1988, to <u>April 17, 2071</u>, thus making him a Minnesota prisoner as of the effective date of the COMS memo, December 1, 2000.
- 41. Plaintiff, further, had no knowledge of said COMS memo before he read it in his Base Files during the month of January of 2006.

- V. RELIEF
- A. AWARD PLAINTIFF COMPENSATORY DAMAGES FROM DEFENDANTS

## IN THE FOLLOWING AMOUNTS:

1. Officer V. Pohlmann

Claim I, \$10,000, for two counts

Claim III, \$30,000, for all counts (See Smoking Log)

TOTAL = \$40,000

2. C. Davis, Mail Room

Claim III, \$20,000, for four counts

TOTAL = \$20.000

3. Lou Stender, Associate Warden of Operations

Claim II, \$10,000, for two counts

Claim IV, \$81,000

TOTAL = \$91.000

4. <u>Sgt. Krentz</u>

Claim III, \$30,000, for all counts (See Smoking Log)

TOTAL = \$30.000

5. Officer C. Peine

Claim III, \$30,000, for all counts (See Smoking Log)

TOTAL = \$30,000

6. Penelope "Penny" Malecha, Career Case Manager

Claim IV, \$81,000

TOTAL = \$81,000

7. James "Jim" Lyons, Assistant Warden of Operations

Claim IV, \$81,000

TOTAL = \$81,000

8. <u>Jan Hanlon, "RN</u>"

Claim IV, \$81,000

TOTAL = \$81,000

- V. RELIEF CONTD.
- 9. <u>Jaclyn "Jackie" Carter, "CPD</u>

  Claim IV \$81,000 TOTAL = \$81,000
- 10. Constance "Connie" Roehrich, Warden

  Claim IV \$81,000 TOTAL = \$81,000

SUB TOTAL = \$616.000

B. AWARD PLAINTIFF PUNITIVE DAMAGES FROM DEFENDANTS IN THE FOLLOWING AMOUNTS:

Plaintiff requests this Court to award him Punitive Damages

DOUBLE the amount of Compensatory Damages, making a GRAND TOTAL OF

\$1,232,000.00. It this sounds excessive or exhorbitant, plaintiff

believes it is justified due to the evil intent of the bold and

arrogant, blatant, reckless and callous, flagrant, oppressive,

intentional and deliberate indifferent behavior of a conspiracy to

commit fraudulent concealment, fraudulent representation and

retaliation against plaintiff for exercizing his most fundamental

constitutional right of free speech and an unfettered and meaningful

access to the court. Said allegations were so regregious as to

justify a special damages in essence or in spirit.

Said retaliation against plaintiff also had a class-based animus that caused him severe emotional torment and duress, and irreparable harm.

Wherefore, plaintiff further asks this Court to grant him any other proper and necessary relief it may deem he is entitled to pursuant to 20 U.S.C.A., § 2202.

## CASE 0:08-cv-01186-JNE-JJK Doc. 1 Filed 04/24/08 Page 16 of 25

(In Item B below, place the full name of the defendant in the first blank, official position in the second blank, and place of employment in the third blank. Do the same for <u>all</u> additional defendants, using a separate page, if necessary)

В.	Name of Defendant:	Victoria Pohlmann
•	employed as:	Correctional Officer
	at:	Minnesota Dept. of Corrections
	Additional Defendants	See page 1
	Additional Defendants	
STAT	EMENT OF	
CLAII		
person factual OF CL	ally involved, including date allegation should be set for	facts on which your claim is based. Describe how each individual defendant es, places and specific wrongful acts or omissions or each defendant. Each of the in a separate numbered paragraph. (All paragraphs in the "STATEMENT rely numbered.) Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes.
See	pages 3 throw	ugh 13
	<u> </u>	
RELII		
	oriefly exactly what you wan	
	no legal arguments. Cite no i 🔍	13
Se-	e pages 🕦 thr	rough 12
<u></u>	·······················	
	i hereby certify under penalt edge and belief.	ry of perjury that the above petition is true to the best of my (our) information,
		day of March, 2008
2	Signature(s) of Plaintiff(s)	Edward tourseles
	<del></del>	
	Subsuc	libed and sworn to me on this 14th da
	of Ma	rdy 2008.
	A GENE	ERAL NOTARY - State of Nebraska
		SAMUEL S. SHAW My Comm. Exp. Sept 27, 2009  Wotary Public

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Edward Poindexter, plaintiff in this cause of action, hereby certify that one (1) original, and three (3) true and accurate copies of the foregoing civil rights complaint was sent to the clerk administrator of the United States District Court, located at 700 Federal Building, 360 North Robert Street, St. Paul, Minnesota, 55101-1480, by depositing same into the United States mail (Cartified, Registered, First Class Postage Prepaid) on this Aday of April 2008.

April 3 2008

Edward Poindexter, pro se

#27767

NSP, Box 2500

Lincoln, NE 68542-2500

April 4, 2008



APR 21 2008

Clerk Administrator
of the United States District Court
Room 700 Federal Building
316 North Robert Street
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-1460

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT ST. PAUL, MN

Dear Sir/Ma'am:

I'm enclosing a check in the amount of \$350.00 as a filing fee for a 42 U.S.C.A., § 1983 civil complaint that should arrive at your office under separate cover soon, along with summons.

Respectfully Submitted,

Edward Poindexter

#27767

NSP, Box 2500

Lincoln, Nebraska 68542-2500

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A., § 1746, I swear under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and accurate to the best of my information and memory.

april 4, '08

Edward Poindaylor

CASE 0:08-cv-01186-JNE-JJK Doc. 1 Filed 04/24/08

SS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

(022	TOTAL OF THE REVENUE OF THE FORENCY			- OLEDY IIS	DISTRICLCLUM
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
Edward Po	oindexter		Victori	ST.F a Pohlmann, e	et al
• • •	of First Listed Plaintiff Lancaster		County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant	Rice
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)
				D CONDEMNATION CASES, U INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		
None. Pro	se plaintiff		?		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question	,	For Diversity Cases Only)	rf √def	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	n of This State 🔼	FF XDEF □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	rincipal Place 🔲 4 🗇 4
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citize	n of Another State	2 D 2 Incorporated and	Principal Place 🗍 5 🗍 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			of Business In	Another State
			n or Subject of a ☐ eign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI					
CONTRACT	Terror Torin Torin Torin		ELEURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane   362 Personal Injury		10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	400 State Reapportionment  410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Maipractic		25 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability   365 Personal Injury	-	of Property 21 USC 881	L	450 Commerce
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability		30 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Stander Stander Sale Asbestos Person  330 Federal Employers' Injury Product		40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs.	820 Copyrights 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability		60 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	D 480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE	RTY	Safety/Health		490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud		Other		☐ 810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability		IABOR O Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY  Bell HIA (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damag	4	Act	3 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange  B 875 Customer Challenge
190 Other Contract	Product Liability		20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	y 🔲 73	30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	B90 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION	3NC 7/	& Disclosure Act 10 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vac		00 Other Labor Litigation	O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act     893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence		Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	B94 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	D 871 IRSThird Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 530 General 544 Welfare 535 Death Penalty			26 USC 7609	Act  900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & O	Other			☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access
	Employment 550 Civil Rights				to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Conditio	m			☐ 950 Constitutionality of
	Other  440 Other Civil Rights				State Statutes
Ž1 Original □ 2 R	ten "X" in One Box Only)  ternoved from		stated or anothe	erred from 6 Multidistr	
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened (specify) Litigation Judgment  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  42 U.S.C.A., § 1983 Civil Complaint					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  42 U.S.C.A., § 1983 Civil Complaint  Brief description of cause: Intentional and Deliberate Indifference Toward Constitutional Rights					
				Toward Constit	utional Rights
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	MAND \$ 32,000.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAST	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	DE RECORD		
april 3	april 3, 2008 Edward PoinDute nose				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	_		<u> </u>	7 1/2	
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE

## NEBRASKA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

# INMATE INTERVIEW REQUEST

TO: MXXXXXXXXXX Inmate Accounts .	D	ATE: 4/15/08
FROM: Edward Poindexter #27767	NSP	1-A-9
NAME / NUMBER	FACILITY	LOCATION
WORK LOCATION:	ŲNIT STAFF:	
MESSAGE: Please let me know as soon as		
for asfiling fee is sent out to the		and the second s
It's been two weeks since I mailed i	t. I need to ge	t <b>r</b> this lawsuit
on file asap. Th <b>ge</b> complaint was ret	urned to me thi	s evening because th
check had not yet caught up with the	complaint. (I	had to mail them
out under separate package/cover)		
thank You.		
Chair 100.		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· •	
		127.0
	<del></del>	
		120,000
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	CON	· Cotto
ORIGINAL – DCS Employee YELLOW – Inmate	Sign	nature The nature
Both copies need to be submitted for response.	O/g	TIME P
1	<u></u>	
REPLY: See autached.	It au	I he
sent out within	The one	It see
deup		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4/15/06	Leanur	
Date	Signature	
DCS-A-adm-013 (rev. 2/97)	_	

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL SERVICES

## ACCOUNTING

## INSTITUTIONAL ACCOUNT

ID NUMBER NAME 27767 POINDEXTER/EDWARD	INST REC  DATE REC RELEASE CTR LOCALITY  04/17/71 NSP 1A 09	LAST ACTIVITY 04/15/08
SSN PREV ID GATE HR ASSIGNMENT 505562599 00 AD UNASSIGNED		SUS LEG O N
PROL VIOL DET BEGIN BAL BEG UNFR FAI N 1,361.23 735.64	CURR FR BAL CURR UNFR BAL ( 42.15 1,501.39	CURR BAL 1,543.54
TRAN TRAN DOC  CODE DATE NUMBER DESCRIPTION  03801 041108 9766 STORE 02 CHARGES  03116 041508 40408 US DIST COURT CLE	FROZEN AMOUNT DEBIT CREDIT 1954 RK 35000	BALANCE 185139 150139

FAC. <u>NSP</u> H.U. <u>1-A-9</u>
DATE\$ 350.00
TO Clerk Administrator
Edward Name DD 15 2000
ID# 27767 Date Posted 1 3 2008
Witnessed CW Kyrtchall
Approved MANNEY
Trust Ck. # 010740

APR 17 2008

RETURN Stub with check for processing.

CASE 0:08-cv-01186-JNE-JJK Doc. 1 Filed 04/24/08 Page 22 of 25

RECEIVED BY MAIL

April 7, 2008

Clerk Administrator U.S. District Court 700 Federal Bldg. 316 North Robert St. St. Paul, MN 55101-1460 APR 10 2008

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CO JRT ST. PAUL, MN

Sir/Ma'am:

the enclosed should be self-explanatory with the possible exception of the stack of summons. I enclosed them in the event that you needed to stamp, date and sign them individually. I also enclosed a single summons that left blank the name and address of the defendants in case that one will suffice for the record, where you may only need to sign, date and stamp, then i make ten (10) copies of it to name and address each one to distribute to defendants.

Sincerely,

Edward Poindexter

- Durand Paint

# CASE 0:08-cv-01186-JNE-JJK Doc. 1 Filed 04/24/08 Pag

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF MINNESOTABY MAIL

EDWARD POINDEXTER,	) APR 10 2008
Plaintiff,	CASE NO CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CO JRI
v .	ST. PAUL, MN
·	NOTICE OF SERVICE BY
VICTORIA POHLMANN, ET AL,	) ) MAIL
Defendants.	)

On the date of April 7, 2008, I Edward Poindexter, plaintiff in this cause of action, sent by United States mail first class postage prepaid the following documents to the clerk administrator of the United States District Court of Minnesota, located at 700 Federal Building, 316 North Robert Street, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-1460:

- 1 One (1) each original 42 U.S.C.A., § 1983 Civil Complaint,
- 2 Three (3) each true and accurate copies of same,
- 3 One (1) each summons for ten (10) each defendants,
- 4 Ten (10) each summons for ten (10) each individual defendants if needed,
- 5 One (1) each "Civil Cover Sheet," Form JS 44.

The \$350.00 filing fee was sent under separate cover on or about April 4, 2008.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A., § 1746, I swear under penalty of perjury that the above is true and accurate to the best of my information and memory.

Date

Edward Poindexter, pro se #27767

NSP, Box 2500

Lincoln, NE 68542-2500

LINCOLN ME 685

AN ADA MODE DE A

USA 41

# RECEIVED BY MAIL.

United States District Court Room 700 Federal Building 316 North Robert Street

Clerk Administrator

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUR. ST. PAUL, MN

LEGAL MAIL St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-1460

LEGAL MAIL

Hallitetellerillerilettelleritettifizielereretilleri

NSP, BOX 2500

LINCOLN, NEBRASKA 68542-2500

St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-1460 Clerk Administrator United States District Court 700 Federal Building 316 North Robert Street

APE 2.4 2008

GIERLAS DESTRICT COST WW TOOL LO